Anchorage M 7.1 Earthquake Nov 30 2018, 8:29 am (TCLEE 5B)

John Eidinger G&E Engineering Systems Inc. With contributions by: John Dai, Southern California Edison Bruce Maison, Structural Engineer Engineers and staff of MEA, ML&P, CEA October 15 2019

Copyright G&E Engineering Systems Inc. 2019. May not be reproduced or distributed without obtaining prior permission.

Other TCLEE Reports

- Napa California 2014 (TCLEE 1 150 pp)
- Kyushu Japan 2016 (TCLEE 2 300 pp)
- Mexico City / Chiapas 2017 (TCLEE 3 100 pp)
- Hokkaido Japan 2018 (TCLEE 4 300 pp)
- Anchorage Alaska 2018 (TCLEE 5A 19 pp)
- Ridgecrest California 2019 (TCLEE 6)

Available at: <u>www.geEngineeringSystems.com</u>



Quick Overview

- 3 Electric Utilities (MEA, ML&P, CEA)
- ~ 375,000 people with PGA > 0.1 g
- >23 million Customer Minutes of outages (might be higher)
- Many failures of 230 kV, 138 kV, 115 kV substation equipment and distribution feeders

Questions

- Question 1. "Weak Seismic Design is Okay" or "Brilliant Cost Effective Seismic Design" ?
- Question 2. Is the greater Anchorage electric system ready for the next M -9 event (like 1964)?



ML&P. Serves Downtown Anchorage

MEA. Serves northern suburban / rural areas

CEA. Serves southern suburban / rural areas

Geographic Area of Interest in this M 7.1 Earthquake

Population in the box ~375,000 (2018) ~125,000 (1964)



Anchorage Municipal Light & Power (ML&P) Matanuska Electric Assocation (MEA) Chugach Electric Assocation (CEA) Seward Electrical System (SES) Golden Valley Electric Assocation (GVEA)

1964. Same utilities, but much smaller load and only the 115 kV grid existed

2018. New 138 kV and 230 kV transmission, much larger load, several new gas-fired power plants

2018. Little to no evidence of seismic design at substations.

Weak bus design widespread at nearly every substation.

No IEEE 693 Seismic tags that I could see on recently installed (post 2005) 230 kV equipment







MEA

- 16 of 22 substations immediately went black.
- •4 substations had damage to high voltage equipment (115 kV)
- New 10 x 17 MW power plant: 2 of 3 step up transformers (each 13.8 x 115 kV 75 MVA) tripped. Various other damage to plant building, fire sprinkler pipes, overhead crane, gas regulator station, etc. PGA -0.22g.
- Distribution damage.
- 42,600 of 65,000 meters (1 meter = 1 customer) were black at 11:30 am. 2,500 were black at 5 pm. 835 customers at 11:30 pm. About 15 Million CM.
- \$1.1 Million Repair Cost. Mitigation? none apparent.

MEA Briggs Substation

Rigid Bus. Surge Arrestors. Transformer slid, breaking 4 bushings. $PGA \sim 0.30g$

MEA Pippel Substation

Candlestick Breaker. Surge Arrestors. Bus. 15 kV DS + CB. PGA ~ 0.30g

MEA Anderson Substation

Surge Arrestors. Circuit Switchers (candlestick breakers) PGA ~ 0.30g

MEA Douglas Substation Rigid Bus Expansion Joints, Bus Supports. PGA ~ 0.30g

Excessive differential movements leads to failures Switch streel structures and bus supports use I-beams, low frequencies, twisting / torsion. All this is readily computed. Suitable connectors are available. Do utilities (and their A/Es) turn a blind eye? Sunshine will expose the weaknesses. **CEA, ML&P and MEA all have work to do.**

ML&P

•3 115 kV substations tripped immediately (oil level and PT issues). Sub 6 115 kV bus damage.

- •Plant 1 35 kV bus
- Plant 2A Units 10 and 11 tripped. SPP tripped.
- Eklutna PT and CT rooftop failures (3 total). PGA 0.17g
- Significant distribution damage.
- 30,800 customers. Power to 8,500 customers by 1 pm. 10,000 customers by 11 pm. All customers by 5 am Dec 1. About 10 Million CM.
- Mostly restored by Dec 1 3 am.
- •\$1.3 million repair cost.

ML&P Eklutna

3 of 6 PT / CT damaged. Transformers slid (a bit). Minor damage to buildings

ML&P Damage

Rigid bus

Underground TR

CEA

- 69,000 customers. 4,291 customers out at 1:53 pm. About 2 Million CM (might be low).
- Major damage at Point Mackenzie 230 kV (\$2 to \$7 million)
- Tilted transformers and switchgear (Latouche) \$2 million.
- East Cable 230 kV terminal; Beluga power plant distribution transformer, Teeland switches, Airport foundations cracked, Pt Woronzol leaking bushings, Boniface substation, Dowling substation, University substation (settlement and broken switches), Hillside substation, Huffman substation. \$0.5 million.
- Eklutna tunnel, others. \$1.5 million.
- \$7 million (to \$12 million) repair cost.

CEA Pt MacKenzie 2018 230 138 kV Lat 61.2496 Long -150.0268

"Major Damage" of 230 kV Yard

1996

Image © 2019 DigitalGlobe Googl Date: 7/30/2018 lat 61.249570° lon -150.026851° elev 31 m 23

CEA Pt MacKenzie 2018 230 138 kV Lat 61.2496 Long -150.0268

North

Double End Break 230 kV Switch

Figure 1 - Identification of parts. This switch is equipped with a grounding switch, which is optional equipment. 230 kV model shown. For other ratings, refer to the unit assembly and operating mechanism drawings. Items 8 and 9 may not be used on all ratings.

The switches are a hybrid between original Siemens Allis, with replaced parts by Southern States

Rocking lack of stiffeners Low frequency

1.5

SHOULD THE STEEL STAND BE RETAINED? \$7 MILLION DOLLAR QUESTION

S. p. A.

Photo after disassembly

Damaged

SHOULD THE STEEL STAND BE RETAINED? \$7 MILLION DOLLAR QUESTION

Damage Summary

| Description | Phases Damaged |
|----------------------------|----------------|
| Low level switch | Aø |
| Low level switch | None |
| Low level switch | Сø |
| Low level switch | Aø, Cø |
| Low level switch | Сø |
| Low level switch | None |
| High level switch | Вø |
| Broken connection | Вø |
| Low level switch | None |
| Low level switch | Aø |
| Low level switch | Aø, Cø |
| Low level switch | Aø, Cø |
| Low level switch | Сø |
| Low level switch | Aø |
| High level switch | Вø |
| Broken connection | Вø |
| Low level switch | Aø, Cø |
| Low level switch | None |
| Low level switch | Aø, Cø |
| Low level switch | None |
| Low level switch | None |
| Low level switch | Aø |
| High level switch (unique) | None |
| High level switch | None |

Low Switches - Dynamics

| Mode | Frequency | Primary Modal Directions |
|------|-----------|--------------------------|
| | (Hz) | |
| 1 | 2.4 | NS |
| 2 | 2.9 | NS |
| 3 | 4.1 | EW, Vertical |
| 4 | 4.8 | NS |
| 5 | 5.2 | Vertical, EW |
| 6 | 8.2 | EW, Vertical |
| 7 | 8.3 | NS |
| 8 | 8.5 | NS |
| 9 | 10.3 | NS |
| 10 | 10.9 | NS |
| 11 | 11.4 | Vertical, EW |
| 12 | 11.5 | NS |
| 13 | 12.2 | EW, Vertical |
| 14 | 12.5 | NS |
| 15 | 13.0 | EW, Vertical |
| 16 | 15.3 | Vertical |
| 17 | 19.4 | EW, Vertical |
| 18 | 19.5 | Vertical, EW |
| 19 | 19.6 | EW, Vertical |
| 20 | 29.8 | NS |

Table 5-5. "Switch Low" Frequencies

EW 4.1 HZ NS 2.4 HZ

| Location | NS | EW | Vertical |
|--------------|----------|----------|----------|
| | (Inches) | (Inches) | (Inches) |
| Phase A Base | 1.47 | 0.32 | 0.44 |
| Phase B Base | 1.03 | 0.32 | 0.05 |
| Phase C Base | 1.47 | 0.32 | 0.44 |
| Phase A Top | 3.78 | 1.41 | 1.63 |
| Phase B Top | 3.12 | 0.41 | 1.43 |
| Phase C Top | 3.78 | 1.42 | 1.63 |

Table 5-6. "Switch Low" Displacement Responses

High Switches - Dynamics

| Mode | Frequency | Primary Modal Directions |
|------|-----------|--------------------------|
| | (Hz) | |
| 1 | 1.0 | EW of the A Frame |
| 2 | 2.5 | NS, Vert |
| 3 | 4.0 | Vert, NS |
| 4 | 4.1 | EW |
| 5 | 4.6 | Vert, EW, NS |
| 6 | 5.3 | Vert, NS, EW |
| 7 | 5.3 | Vert, NS, EW |
| 8 | 6.0 | NS, Vert |
| 9 | 6.9 | NS, Vert |
| 10 | 7.2 | NS, Vert |
| 11 | 7.4 | Vert |
| 12 | 8.3 | Vert |
| 13 | 9.2 | Vert |
| 14 | 9.6 | Vert, NS |
| 15 | 9.9 | Vert, NS |
| 16 | 10.3 | Vert, NS |
| 17 | 10.4 | Vert, EW, NS |
| 18 | 10.5 | Vert |
| 19 | 10.9 | Vert, NS, EW |
| 20 | 11.2 | NS, Vert |
| 21 | 11.4 | NS, Vert |
| 22 | 14.2 | EW |
| 23 | 19.1 | NS |
| 24 | 19.5 | Vert |
| 25 | 19.6 | Vert |
| 26 | 19.6 | Vert, NS |
| 27 | 19.8 | NS |
| 28 | 20.3 | EW |
| 29 | 23.3 | NS |
| 30 | 23.9 | NS, Vert |
| 31 | 24.4 | NS |
| 32 | 25.3 | NS, Vert |
| 33 | 27.3 | EW |
| 34 | 28.0 | Vert, NS |
| 35 | 32.0 | NS |
| 36 | 39.4 | Vert |

EW 1.0 HZ NS 2.5 HZ

| Location | NS | EW | Vertical |
|--------------|----------|----------|----------|
| | (Inches) | (Inches) | (Inches) |
| Phase A Base | 1.87 | 10.22 | 0.68 |
| Phase B Base | 3.60 | 10.22 | 0.80 |
| Phase C Base | 1.97 | 10.22 | 0.68 |
| Phase A Top | 1.95 | 10.67 | 0.92 |
| Phase B Top | 3.92 | 9.95 | 1.32 |
| Phase C Top | 2.02 | 10.67 | 0.92 |

Observations (1)

- Yard Re-build using new 4-leg supports and new IEEE 693 Switches: **\$7 Million**
- Yard Re-build using original 2-leg supports and new Switches:
 \$2 Million
- CEA, Switch Vendors and Local AK A/E: original leaning towards \$7 million. After external review, adopting \$2 million.
- This weakness is prevalent throughout the Anchorage area. An area-wide assessment with mitigation is RIPE.
- FEMA can pay. CEA, ML&P and MEA should step up to the plate.

Observations (2)

- IEEE 693 is a Guideline. Not Mandatory.
- BC, WA, OR, CA. Mitigation of the bus remains important. The West Coast is not "done".
- IEEE 693 / 1527 / SERA. Field observations and displacement calculations will uncover nearly all of these weaknesses at Anchorage substations. Fixing this is "straightforward". More than half of residual weaknesses at modern substations remain with flex bus and rigid bus adverse interactions.

More Information

- TCLEE No. 5A Report: Overview of all lifeline performance in the Anchorage 2018 earthquake
- http://www.geEngineeringSystems.com
- Free
- Detailed report. Point MacKenzie Substation. G&E Report dated July 30 2018 (104 pages). Upon request (NDA)